Birth of The Shinkansen — A Memoir

Hideo Shima

Nearly 70 years have passed since I entered the railway industry after studying mechanical engineering at university. In those days, the steam locomotive dominated rail, and I started my career as a rolling-stock engineer designing steam locomotives.

Japan’s first 3-cylinder locomotive, the C53 Class, was just being designed, based on the C52 imported from America. I was totally absorbed in wrestling with the locomotive design with senior rolling-stock engineers. The C53 was soon completed using new techniques to balance the driving wheels and new valve-gear designs. The results were excellent, and I experienced the thrill of reward for hard work for the first time in my life.

At that time, Japan was developing her own technology, thanks to the hard work of our senior engineers. To understand the actual circumstances of Japanese industry, I made it a rule to read as many domestic and foreign papers as possible. To build high-quality rolling stock, we needed good components. We were eager to encourage the growing Japanese industries and to promote national development by using domestic manufacturers to make these parts. The national railway at that time had a huge group of engineers, unrivalled except by the military. We were enthusiastic about our jobs, taking pride that we had a direct influence on national development. In response to a request from the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, we also participated in the design and fabrication of a standard automobile to promote the domestic automobile industry. These automobiles were mass-produced when World War II broke out. This experience helped the rapid growth of the Japanese automobile industry after the war.

During the war, the Japanese railway industry was in great difficulty, suffering severe damage from air raids and naval bombardment. There were serious shortages of materials but we tried to manufacture as much rolling stock of limited design and simple construction as possible, while giving a low priority to quality. Major accidents resulted from using ancient rolling stock. Many passengers were killed—they were hard days for engineers. The Hachiko Line Accident which occurred soon after the war was especially terrible. Over-speeding on a down grade caused the train to derail on a curve and it went over a cliff. The wooden passenger cars, which were already worn out by overuse during the war, took a heavy toll of lives. We used the accident as the opportunity to obtain special permission and materials from the occupation forces to modify all wooden passenger cars (3000 were in use then) to a steel construction in a few years.

Soon, the time came when the Japanese National Railway (JNR) could make future plans. I started designing steam locomotives, but I always felt the limits of steam. On one hand, I wanted to build a steam locomotive with the greatest traction capacity to gain a reputation, but on the other hand, I repeatedly thought about what direction to take to pass the limits of steam, taking the features and condition of Japan into consideration. The high-capacity C62 and D62 classes were developed for express passenger trains and heavy-duty freight trains, respectively, soon after the war. They were suitable for ending the age of steam.

To clear the limits of steam, it was necessary to either introduce internal-combustion locomotives or electric locomotives. Because Japanese railways are narrow gauge with many grades and curves, there are limits on locomotive size. We concluded that increasing operating performance and achieving high-speed operation would mean using electric or diesel railcars with power distributed along the cars, namely use of distributed-power multiple-unit control systems.

Until then, JNR had only used electric railcars for urban short-distance trains in Tokyo and Osaka. I organised the replacement of locomotive-hauled middle-distance trains on the Tokaido Line with electric railcar trains. This new EMU was nicknamed “Shonan Densha” and was highly regarded. JNR at that time was supervised by the occupation forces who did not always agree with my ideas. JNR itself was still very passive, but I was confident that the success of the “Shonan Densha” demonstrated the possibility of improving service quality by introducing high-performance EMUs to long-distance trains.

I then left JNR to work for a private company, but when Mr. Shinji Sogo took office as President of JNR in 1955, he asked me to assist him as a Vice President of Engineering. I refused at first, but I discussed it with him several times and was moved by his zeal, so I decided to return to JNR.

At that time, JNR was suffering from a serious problem; the transport volume of the Tokaido Line (556 km) connecting Tokyo with Osaka, the centre of commerce and industry in West Japan, was increasing rapidly due to the rapid growth of the Japanese economy after the war. It was almost saturated. Finally, in autumn 1956, the whole Tokaido Line was electrified. It was transporting 24% of the total passen-
standard-gauge at the end of the Meiji era. He had also participated in management of the South Manchurian Railways, which had operated at high speeds using standard-gauge tracks. The reconstruction of Japanese railways to standard gauge in the Meiji era was not achieved, but Mr. Sogo made up his mind that standard-gauge was indispensable to improving the capacity of the Tokaido Line and to creating a new railway that would not fall behind American and European railways.

I was also thinking seriously how to meet JNR’s transport needs. At that time, air and car traffic were showing remarkable growth. I thought that building a line that would soon fall behind the advancing transport world would be regrettable for the future of JNR and in meeting social expectations. I decided to build a railway that would be useful and rational for a long time into the future.

Today, passenger cars and trucks run on roads at similar speeds. However, in earlier times, cars, coaches and carts ran on roads together, and the speed of trucks dropped on hills due to underpowering, resulting in traffic congestion. Traffic flow became smoother only after truck performance had been improved so they could run as fast as cars.

Conventional railways, like roads, have different types of trains such as express trains, local trains and freight trains, running at different speeds together. Because trains run on rails, a slow train must enter a siding to vacate the main line so a faster train can pass it. It is quite hard, particularly on trunk lines with large transport volumes, to shunt trains one after another so faster trains can get ahead while observing the limits of signalling and safety systems to avoid collision.

However, major private railway companies in the Tokyo and Osaka regions considered the role allotment between railways and cars in the post-war reconstruction period. They soon abandoned freight transport and devoted themselves to high-frequency passenger transport by EMUs. They succeeding in reinforcing their transport capacity by making the most of the track capacity. This fact is worth special mention in the history of Japanese railways.

We decided to apply the same or more advanced principles to the Tokaido Line. If only express trains ran on the new line (shinkansen) while local and freight trains ran on the conventional line, unnecessary siding and waiting
The middle- to long-distance EMUs with distributed power systems that I had advocated showed remarkable progress, with greatly improved running speed and excellent riding comfort, thanks to introduction of air suspension and air conditioning, thus surpassing the passenger cars hauled by locomotive. The speed increase made a day trip between Tokyo and Osaka possible. From the operational point of view, the super-express trains became able to make return trips and the economic advantages of the distributed-power system was clear. Soon, JNR had changed into one of the most prominent “EMU-oriented railways” in the world.

Based on this experience, a distributed traction system was applied to shinkansen trains. With all axles driven, it is possible to obtain the output required for high-speed operation without exceeding the limits on axle loads. In addition, stopping a train running at high speed using friction brakes such as shoe brakes or disc brakes, risks heat-damage to wheels and axles. Motorised axles solve this problem because electric braking becomes possible. Improving this to regenerative braking can achieve energy-saving economic transportation.

Thanks to the experience obtained from conventional lines, AC electrification was used for the shinkansen at what is called the West Japan commercial frequency of 25 kV/60 Hz. The problem of using the 50 Hz commercial supply in East Japan was solved by frequency conversion substations.

Thus, the shinkansen was born from pursuit of the best method to improve the capacity of the Tokaido Line. It had remarkably high performance, compared with conventional lines, but through services between conventional and shinkansen lines seemed to be not so useful because of the great difference in performance. Therefore, we abandoned through operation and decided to use standard-gauge to make the most of the advantages of the new line.

Our decision to construct shinkansen on standard-gauge resulted from the problems of through operation with conventional lines, and released JNR from old habits, making it possible to use new techniques that were impossible with conventional lines and achieving quite a new railway system.

Even if a narrow-gauge four-track line had been built from higher-priority sections, it would inevitably have to have been expanded sooner or later, and repeated partial improvements would have cost more. In addition, narrow-gauge lines would have not produced high-speed and automatic operation like the shinkansen.

After deliberating such points, in December 1958, the Cabinet decided to construct a separate new trunk standard-gauge line along the Tokaido Line with a construction period of 5 years and construction costs of ¥194.8 billion connecting Tokyo with Osaka in about 3 hours.

We were most anxious about financial difficulties in raising sufficient construction funds which would suspend construction. We had many experiences. Once the decision was made to construct a standard-gauge trunk line separate from the conventional line, it was necessary to have government backing from influential politicians. President Sogo took great pains about this.

The Minister of Finance was Mr. Eisaku Sato, who later became Prime Minister and won the Nobel Prize for peace. Mr. Sato had been a manager in the Ministry of Railways in his youth. He realised that such a huge project could not be completed during the term of one Cabinet, so it must not be affected by changes in government policy due to changing political conditions. He suggested that JNR use funds from the World Bank. To use such funds, the government must guarantee completion of a project so the government cannot break its promise even if the Cabinet changes. It was decided to ask the World Bank for a loan of $80 million, and I was dispatched to the USA to explain the technical feasibility of the shinkansen early in 1960. Questions were serious and various including the financial condition of JNR, the profitability of the shinkansen, the reason for
using standard-gauge, the necessity for foreign funding, etc. One World Bank criterion excluded experimental techniques from loans. I persuaded the World Bank that shinkansen techniques included no experimental factor but was an integration of proven advanced technologies achieved under the slogan “Safety First”.

It was a supreme priority to open the shinkansen before October 1964, when the Tokyo Olympic Games were to be held. Because part of the land had already been secured before the war, construction progressed quickly. However, the budget approved by the government was cut due to political considerations, and the shortage became clear as construction progressed. It was a serious political problem. In the end, the budget was increased twice to 380 billion yen. Assuming responsibility for this matter, President Sogo resigned just before the completion of the shinkansen. I also left with him. Therefore, Mr. Sogo, who conceived the shinkansen and myself, who helped design it, did not attend the opening ceremony.

I clearly remember explaining my idea to Mr. Louis Armand, President of the French National Railways when he visited Japan. At that time I was pondering over the shinkansen plan. Despite a long technical career, Mr. Armand was a human leader. Although he managed the French National Railways under German occupation during the war, he also guided the French Resistance. After the war, he was appointed President of the French National Railways, as well as Chairman of Euratom (the European Atomic Energy Commission) and Secretary General of UIC (The International Union of Railways). He was also a member of the Academie Francaise. It was wonderful to hear such a great man understand and agree with my idea to develop a high-standard, high-speed, passenger railway. I believe that Mr. Fernand Nouvion who developed high-speed electric cars under Mr. Armand as well as Mr. Bernard De Fougalland, who promoted the Paris-Lyons TGV, understood our idea too.

Although Mr. Armand died in 1971, the engineers of the French National Railways who had studied under him built the wonderful TGV. At the news of the TGV completion, I could not help blessing their success and sent a letter of hearty congratulations to my old friends in France.
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